15

20

25

30

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

32

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A computerized method for assigning observations comprising:

receiving a plurality of first observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a second sensor system;

assigning, by a computer, a set of pairs of the 10 first and second observations predicted to correspond to the same physical parameter, the assigning comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost for each assigned pair, the cost not independent of the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the set of assigned pairs; and

assigned determining the set of pairs corresponding to an optimal value for the cost function by calculating, by the computer, corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.



- 3. The method of Claim 1, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.
- 4. The method of Claim 1, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.
- 5. The method of Claim ,1, wherein the cost 15 function is

$$J_{s=} - \overline{x}R^{-1}\overline{x}^{T} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \begin{cases} \delta x_{i} S_{i}^{-1} \delta x_{i}^{T} + \ln \left[ \left| S_{i} \right| \right] - \ln \left( d_{\min} \right) & a(i) \neq 0 \\ \overline{g} & a(i) = 0 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \ln \left( \left[ 2\pi \right]^{M} \left| R \right| \right) & n_{a} = 0 \\ 0 & n_{a} > 0 \end{cases}$$

wherein  $J_s$  = Assignment score

 $\bar{x}$  = Estimate of relative bias

R = Relative registration covariance

20 matrix

 $\delta x_i$  = State vector difference =  $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \overline{x}$ 

 $S_i$  = Residual error covariance for pair  $A_i$ 

and  $B_{a(i)}$ 

 $d_{min}$  = Minimum determinant of a residual

error matrix

g = Gate value

M = Number of first observations

 $n_a$  = Number of non-zero entries in a

 $a = Assignment vector: a_{ii} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$  is

assigned to  $B_{a(i)}$ 

 $A_i$  = The plurality of first observations

 $B_{a(i)}$  = The plurality of second observations

assigned to  $A_i$ 

5


Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

- 6. The method of Claim 5, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.
- 5 7. The method of Claim 5, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a functional bias.
- 8. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a processor operable to execute a computer program stored on a computer readable medium.
  - 9. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by an application specific integrated circuit.
  - 10. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a digital signal processor.

10

15

20



35

11. A computerized method for determining the N-best observation assignments comprising:

receiving a plurality of first observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a second sensor system;

determining, by a computer, one or more sets of pairs of the first and second observations predicted to correspond to the same physical parameter, the determining comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost for each assigned pair;

determining a set of assigned pairs corresponding to an optimal value for the cost function by calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of corresponding first and second observations; and

repeating the determining act until a desired number of best observation assignments is determined.

12. The method of Claim 11, wherein the cost specified by the cost function for each assigned pair is not independent of the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the respective set of assigned pairs.

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

36

13. The method of Claim 11, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

10

15

20

- 14. The method of Claim 11, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.
- 15. The method of Claim 11, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

37

16. The method of Claim 11, wherein the cost function is

$$J_{s=} - \overline{x}R^{-1}\overline{x}^{T} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left\{ \delta x_{i}S_{i}^{-1}\delta x_{i}^{T} + \ln\left[\left|S_{i}\right|\right] - \ln\left(d_{\min}\right) \quad a(i) \neq 0 \\ \overline{g} \qquad \qquad a(i) = 0 \right\} + \left\{ \ln\left(\left[2\pi\right]^{M}\left|R\right|\right) \quad n_{a} = 0 \right\}$$

Assignment score wherein  $J_{s}$ = Estimate of relative bias 5 R Relative registration covariance matrix State vector difference =  $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \overline{x}$  $\delta x_i$ Residual error covariance for pair  $A_i$  $S_i$ and  $B_{a(i)}$ 10 Minimum determinant of a residual  $d_{min}$ error matrix Gate value q Number of first observations Μ Number of non-zero entries in a 15  $n_a$ Assignment vector:  $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$  is a = . assigned to  $B_{a(i)}$ The plurality of first observations  $A_{i}$ The plurality of second observations  $B_{a(i)}$ 


17. The method of Claim 16, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.

assigned to  $A_i$ 

- 25 18. The method of Claim 16, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a functional bias.
- 19. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a processor operable to execute a computer program stored on a computer readable medium.

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

- 20. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by an application specific integrated circuit.
- 5 21. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a digital signal processor.



22. A system for assigning observations comprising: a computer readable medium; and

a computer program stored on the computer readable medium, the computer program operable, when executed on a processor, to:

receive a plurality of first observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a second sensor system;

assign a set of pairs of the first and second observations predicted to correspond to the same physical parameter, the assignment comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost for each assigned pair, the cost not independent of the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the set of assigned pairs; and

determining the set of assigned pairs corresponding to an optimal value for the cost function by calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations.

23. The system of Claim 22, and further comprising a processor operable to execute the computer program.

DAL01:658557.2

20

25

5

10

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

40


24. The system of Claim 22, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

10

15

20

- 25. The system of Claim 22, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.
- 26. The system of Claim 22, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.



27. The system of Claim 22, wherein the cost function is

$$J_{s=} - \overline{x}R^{-1}\overline{x}^{T} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left\{ \delta x_{i}S_{i}^{-1}\delta x_{i}^{T} + \ln\left[\left|S_{i}\right|\right] - \ln\left(d_{\min}\right) \quad a(i) \neq 0 \\ \overline{g} \qquad \qquad a(i) = 0 \right\} + \left\{ \ln\left(\left[2\pi\right]^{M}\left|R\right|\right) \quad n_{a} = 0 \right\}$$

=Assignment score wherein  $J_s$ 5 =Estimate of relative bias  $\bar{x}$ R = Relative registration covariance matrix =State vector difference =  $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \overline{x}$  $\delta x_i$ = Residual error covariance for pair  $A_i$  $S_i$ and  $B_{a(i)}$ 10 Minimum determinant of a residual  $d_{min}$ error matrix =Gate value q Μ = Number of first observations =Number of non-zero entries in a 15  $n_a$ Assignment vector:  $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$  is a assigned to  $B_{a(i)}$ The plurality of first observations  $A_i$ The plurality of second observations  $B_{a(i)}$ assigned to  $A_i$ 20

28. The system of Claim 27, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.

10

15

20

25

Attorney's Docket No. BB No. 064749.0141 Client No. PD 02E002

42

29. A system for assigning observations comprising:


a computer operable to receive a plurality of first observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a second sensor system; and

wherein the computer is further operable to assign a set of pairs of the first and second observations predicted to correspond to the same physical parameter, the assignment comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost for each assigned pair, the cost not independent of the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the set of assigned pairs; and

determining the set of assigned pairs corresponding to an optimal value for the cost function by calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations.

- 30. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprising an application specific integrated circuit.
- 31. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a processor operable to execute a computer program stored on the computer readable medium.
- 30 32. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer further comprises the computer readable medium.



- 33. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a digital signal processor.
- 5 34. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a field programmable gate array.
  - 35. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a means for computing.

10

15


36. The system of Claim 29, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

20

25

- 37. The system of Claim 29, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.
- 38. The system of Claim 29, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

fi.i



44

39. The system of Claim 26, wherein the cost function is

$$J_{s=} - \overline{x}R^{-1}\overline{x}^{T} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left\{ \delta x_{i}S_{i}^{-1}\delta x_{i}^{T} + \ln\left[\left|S_{i}\right|\right] - \ln\left(d_{\min}\right) \quad a(i) \neq 0 \\ \overline{g} \qquad \qquad a(i) = 0 \right\} + \left\{ \ln\left(\left[2\pi\right]^{M}\left|R\right|\right) \quad n_{a} = 0 \\ 0 \qquad \qquad n_{a} > 0 \right\}$$

	wherein	$J_s$	=	Assignment score
5		$\bar{x}$	= .	Estimate of relative bias
		R	=	Relative registration covariance
				matrix
		$\delta x_i$	=	State vector difference = $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \overline{x}$
		$S_{i}$	=	Residual error covariance for pair $A_i$
10				and $B_{a(i)}$
		$d_{min}$	=	Minimum determinant of a residual
				error matrix
		g	=	Gate value
		M	=	Number of first observations
15		$n_a$	=	Number of non-zero entries in a
		а	=	Assignment vector: $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$ is
				assigned to $B_{{\scriptscriptstyle a(i)}}$
		$A_{i}$	=	The plurality of first observations
		$B_{a(i)}$	=	The plurality of second observations
20				assigned to $A_i$

40. The system of Claim 39, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.